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Delay-coupled oscillators exhibit unique phenomena that are not present in systems without delayed coupling. In this paper, we experimentally demonstrate mutual synchronisation of two free-running micromechanical oscillators, coupled via light with a total delay 139 ns which is approximately four and a half times the mechanical oscillation time period. This coupling delay, imposed by a finite speed of propagation of light, induces multiple stable states of synchronised oscillations, each with a different oscillation frequency. These states can be accessed by varying the coupling strengths. Our result could enable applications in reconfigurable radio-frequency networks, and novel computing concepts.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Xt, 07.10.Cm, 42.82.Et

Time-delay in coupled systems is ubiquitous in nature because of the finite propagation speed of any signal, and because of the finite response time of physical systems (e.g. neuronal networks [1, 2], chemical reactions [3], biochemical systems [4–7]). Delayed-coupling can significantly influence the behaviour of coupled systems [1–6, 8–14]. In particular, for two coupled oscillators, the presence of a time-delay could enable a multitude of stable states of synchronised oscillations [4, 8, 9, 11, 12]. Recently, synchronisation of micromechanical oscillators has attracted a lot of attention [15–25] due to potential applications in communication [26], signal-processing [27], as well as in novel complex networks [17, 28]. However, effects of delayed-coupling have not yet been experimentally demonstrated on this technologically important platform.

A major challenge is to effectively introduce significant time-delay in systems of coupled micromechanical oscillators. Existing schemes [15, 16, 21, 24] for mutual synchronisation require the micromechanical oscillators to be in physical proximity, restricting the types of coupled-dynamics that the system can exhibit. Micromechanical oscillators can interact via electronic coupling [23, 24] or elastic coupling [13], both of which are fundamentally lossy and require the oscillators to be separated by a distance much smaller than their oscillation wavelengths, thereby rendering the time-delay insignificant.

Coupling micromechanical oscillators via light can help overcome the limitation of distance, since light can propagate with negligible loss over long distances [29]. Light-mediated mutual synchronisation of micromechanical oscillators that has been demonstrated thus far [10, 21, 22] has been with optomechanical oscillators (OMOs) that interact via a common optical microresonator, which requires them to be separated by only a few microns.

In this work, we synchronise two independent OMOs by mutually coupling them with an effective delay of approximately 4.5 times their oscillation time period. This scheme is based on using the radio-frequency (RF) oscillations of one oscillator to modulate the optical drive, and thereby influence the time-evolution of the phase of oscillations of the other oscillator, and vice-versa [30]. Each OMO can be modelled as a mechanical oscillator (Eq. 1, with natural frequency Ω and damping rate Γ). It is driven into self-sustained, free running, oscillations by a position-dependent (x(t)) optical force $F_{opt}(x(t))$, provided by a continuous wave laser [31]. This force on one OMO is modulated by the mechanical displacement signal of the other OMO (and vice-versa) $x(t-T)$ after a propagation delay of T and a coupling-constant γ. Therefore, $T \approx 4.5 \times 2\pi/\Omega$. See Supplemental Material for details.

$$\ddot{x}_{i,j}(t) + \Gamma \dot{x}_{i,j}(t) + \Omega_{i,j}^2 x_{i,j}(t) =$$
$$F_{opt,i,j}(x_{i,j}(t))[1 + \gamma_{i,j,i} x_{j,i}(t-T)] \tag{1}$$

Each oscillator used in this experiment has a double micro-disk structure (Fig. 1), that supports coupled optical and mechanical resonances, and is driven into self-sustained, free running, oscillations with an external laser. The double micro-disk structure is composed of two, vertically stacked, suspended microdisks with a spacer between them (Fig. 1(a)). The top and bottom disks are made of low-pressure chemical vapour deposition (CVD) grown Si3N4, and are nominally 248 nm and 220 nm thick, respectively. The spacer is made of 170...
We optically couple two OMOs with individual mechanical oscillation frequencies of 32.9 MHz and 32.97 MHz with a total delay of 139 ns (effective distance of 28.5 μm) between them. The delay between the two oscillators is introduced using low loss optical fibres, which propagate the transmitted optical signal over a distance (Fig. 2). The RF oscillations of OMO 1 (Fig. 2) are carried by light over the optical delay line, and converted to an electrical signal at a high-speed photodetector. This electrical signal modulates the power of the laser driving OMO 2 (using an electro-optic modulator, EOM 2), thereby coupling OMO 1 to OMO 2. Similarly, OMO 2 couples to OMO 1 via EOM 1.

The strength of this coupling is controlled with a variable-gain RF amplifier (VGA 1.2), that can provide an arbitrary gain between -26 dB and +35 dB. The coupling strengths κij (i,j = 1, 2) are defined as κij = Hosc,j/Hosc,i, where Hosc,j is the oscillation power of OMO ’j’, and Hosc,i is the power of the signal from OMO ’i’ imparted on the laser (via EOM ’j’) driving OMO ’i’. κ12 is controlled by VGA 1 and κ21 is controlled by VGA 2. The ratio κ12/κ21 is kept fixed, using a third VGA (not shown in schematic in Fig. 2).

We show that the two oscillators transition from oscillating independently to oscillating in a synchronised manner at an intermediate frequency as we increase the coupling strength. When the oscillators are weakly coupled (small values of κ12, κ21), they oscillate at their individual frequencies (Fig. 3). As the coupling strength is increased, we observe frequency-pulling, i.e. the frequencies of the two oscillators are pulled towards each other, while they still oscillate independently, prior to the onset of synchronised oscillations. As the coupling strength is increased beyond a threshold value, (κ21, κ12) (~10.5 dB, -4.1 dB), (~12.13 dB, 1.5 dB) for Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) respectively, the two oscillators spontaneously begin to oscillate in synchrony, as indicated by the emergence of a single RF tone in the transmitted optical power spectrum.

We demonstrate that these OMOs also exhibit multiple stable states in which they oscillate synchronously, as opposed to just a single stable synchronised state seen in systems without delay. For any given ratio κ12/κ21, as the coupling strength is increased, the two oscillators initially synchronise at a frequency close to the average of their natural oscillation frequencies (Fig. 3). Further increase in the coupling strength gives synchronised states with frequencies that span the difference between the two natural frequencies in discrete steps.

This demonstration of controllable, multi-stable synchronisation between delay-coupled OMOs paves the way towards implementing novel memory and communication concepts. Delayed-coupling enables us to choose from multiple possible synchronised states, which have different oscillation frequencies enabling applications of OMOs in distributed, reconfigurable communication networks. Delayed coupling also manifests itself in biological systems, particularly neuronal networks. This demonstration of synchronisation of OMOs showcases a microscopic, scalable platform that could potentially be used to implement various schemes of neuromorphic information processing and computation.
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Figure 1. (a) Schematic cross-sectional picture of the periphery of a typical optomechanical (OM) resonator, indicating the co-localisation of optical and mechanical modes. (b) SEM image of a typical double-disk OM resonator, surrounded by a structure to support tapered optical fibers used to optically excite mechanical oscillations. (Inset) Higher-magnification image of the double micro-disk structure. (c) Normalised optical transmission spectra of the two OM resonators used in this demonstration. (d) Power spectrum of the transmitted optical power modulated as each device is driven into self-sustained oscillations. The oscillation frequencies are separated by 70 kHz.

Figure 2. Schematic of experimental setup to synchronise two optomechanical oscillators (OMOs). Each device is driven by an independent laser tuned to be blue-side of its optical resonance. The transmitted optical signals, modulated by each OMO, travel over 9 m long delay line of SMF-28 optical fibres. The RF signal generated at the photodetector (PD) at the end of optical delay line 1 modulates the power of the laser driving OMO 2 via an electro-optic modulator (EOM), and vice-versa. The strengths of these modulation signals are controlled by variable-gain RF amplifiers (VGA). Half of the RF oscillation signal is tapped off at each of the photodetector for analysing with an RF spectrum analyser (See Supplemental material for a more detailed schematic).
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Figure 3. Combined power spectrum of the transmitted optical power of the two OMOs, as a function of increasing coupling strengths $\kappa_{21}$ ($\kappa_{12}$), showing the synchronisation transition, while $\kappa_{12}/\kappa_{21}$ is kept constant at (a) 6.32 dB and (b) 13.63 dB. As $\kappa_{21}$ and $\kappa_{12}$ are increased beyond the synchronisation threshold, we see (a) 2 synchronised states and (b) 3 synchronised states, respectively.
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EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND PROCEDURE FOR DELAY-COUPLED SYNCHRONISATION

A more detailed schematic of experimental setup to synchronise two optomechanical oscillators (OMOs) is shown in Fig. 1. As described in the main text, each device is driven by an independent laser tuned to be blue-side of its optical resonance. The transmitted optical signals, modulated by each OMO, travel over delay line of SMF-28 optical fibres. The RF signal generated at the photodetectors (DC filters are used to block the DC signal) at the end of optical delay lines modulate the power of the lasers driving the two OMOs via electro-optic modulators (EOM). The strengths of these modulation signals are controlled by variable-gain RF amplifiers (VGA).

The coupling strengths are primarily determined by VGA1 and VGA2. The two OMOs are first pumped into self-sustained oscillations, while keeping the gain values very low (< −20 dB), so that the two devices oscillate independently. VGA1 and VGA2 are controlled by the same voltage source, and have the same gain (within their specifications) as the control-voltage is varied. The synchronisation transition i.e. when the two OMOs transition from independent oscillations at different frequencies to locked oscillations at the same frequency, is seen when the gain is increased. We increase the gain in steps of ≈ 0.9 dB.

Half of the RF oscillation signal is tapped off at each of the photodetector for analysing with an RF spectrum analyser. Since the instrument we use only has a single input channel, we analyse and record the spectrum of each oscillator independently. Therefore, each voltage scan (as described in the previous paragraph) is performed twice, first to record the output of Splitter 1 and then to record the output of Splitter 2. The two spectra are then mathematically added using numerical software to yield a combined RF spectrum for the two OMOs.

We have seen earlier [1] that the response of an OMO to an externally injected periodic signal is highly asymmetric with respect to the detuning between the OMO and the external signal. Therefore, an OMO is more susceptible to locking by an external signal if that signal has a higher frequency than if it has a lower frequency. This means that, in order to observe synchronisation dynamics, it is not enough to have equal values of gain (and thereby $\kappa_{21}$ and $\kappa_{12}$).
A third amplifier VGA3, cascaded with VGA1 and controlled independently of VGA1 and VGA2, is used to differentiate between $\kappa_{21}$ and $\kappa_{12}$. The gain of VGA3 is kept fixed throughout the voltage-scan described above.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR DELAYED COUPLING

Each OMO can be modelled as a pair of parametrically coupled optical (Eq. S1) and mechanical (Eq. S2) resonators, where $a$ is the electric field strength in the optical resonator, such that $|a|^2$ is the energy stored in the cavity, $\Delta_0$ is the detuning between the laser frequency and the resonance frequency of the optical cavity $\omega$, $\Gamma_{\text{opt}}$ is the optical decay rate, $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ is the rate at which laser power $|s|^2$ is coupled into the optical cavity from the tapered fiber, $G_{\text{om}}$ is the optomechanical coupling coefficient and $m_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective mass of the mechanical resonator. The rest of the parameters are described in the main text.

$$\frac{da}{dt} = i(\Delta_0 - G_{\text{om}}x)a - \Gamma_{\text{opt}}a + \sqrt{2\Gamma_{\text{ext}}}s$$  \hspace{1cm} (S1)

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \Gamma_m \frac{dx}{dt} + \Omega_m^2 x = \frac{G_{\text{om}}|a|^2}{m_{\text{eff}}\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S2)

For the OMOs that we used in this demonstration of synchronisation, the optical de-
The laser power $|s|^2$ driving one OMO is modulated, via an electro-optic modulator, by the RF oscillation signal of the other OMO, $P_{\text{trans}}$ (Eq. S4) ([1], Supplementary). Here, $\Gamma$ represents the strength of modulation due to $P_{\text{trans}}$.

$$|s|^2 = |s_0|^2(1 + \Gamma P_{\text{trans}}) \quad \text{(S4)}$$

$P_{\text{trans}}$ is the RF oscillation power of the OMO, that modulates the laser power $|s|^2$. It can be shown that $P_{\text{trans}}(t) \propto x_{\text{trans}}(t)$ [1]. Substituting this in Eq. S4, and combining it with S3, assuming that $P_{\text{trans}}$ is delayed by $T$, we get Eq. S5, which describes the delayed coupling between the two OMOs.

$$\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \Gamma_m \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + \Omega_m^2 x(t) = F_{\text{opt}}(x(t))(1 + \gamma x_{\text{trans}}(t - T))$$

where,

$$F_{\text{opt}}(x(t)) = \frac{2G_{\text{om}}\Gamma_{\text{ex}}}{m_{\text{eff}}\omega} \frac{1}{(\Delta_0 - G_{\text{om}}x(t - \tau))^2 + \Gamma_{\text{opt}}^2 |s_0|^2} \quad \text{(S5)}$$