

## Math 4550 Hints for questions for Feb. 10, 2009

1. Be careful. For instance, you might try to show that if  $y_i$  is a sequence of elements in  $P$  which converge to  $y$  and each  $y_i = c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_nx_n$  is a convex combination of the  $x_j$  which define  $P$ , then the limit must be in  $P$ . However, the nonuniqueness of this sum may cause a problem. One way around this is to use problem 4 from hw 2 and....
2. Since  $a$  is in the interior of  $K$  there is an  $r > 0$  so that the open ball  $B_r(a)$  is in  $K$ . As  $K$  is convex and  $b \in K$ ....
3. Lots of things to think about. Here are two: 1) Since each  $H_\varepsilon$  can be written as  $H_\varepsilon = \{y : a_\varepsilon \cdot y = b_\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  one could hope that  $H_\varepsilon \rightarrow H$  means that  $a_\varepsilon \rightarrow a$  and  $b_\varepsilon \rightarrow b$  where  $H = \{y : a \cdot y = b.\}$  But to use this idea you will have to do something a little bit more careful. For instance, if  $H = H_\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon$  it would not imply that  $a_\varepsilon \rightarrow a$  or  $b_\varepsilon \rightarrow b$  as  $H$  can be written in too many different ways. 2) Don't think that just because the line segments are getting shorter the  $H_\varepsilon$ 's must converge. For instance, suppose that  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and the endpoints in  $K$  closest to  $x$  got closer and closer, but spun around  $x$  faster and faster. Then the  $H_\varepsilon$ 's would not have a limit. Of course this is impossible since  $K$  is convex and even in this case there is a subsequence of the  $H_\varepsilon$ 's which do converge....
4. Induction on  $m$ .
5. How many triangles is each edge in?