

MATH 453  
SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENT 4  
OCTOBER 7, 2004

*Exercise 2 from Section 17, page 100*

Using Theorem 17.2,  $A$  is closed in  $Y$  implies that it is the intersection of  $Y$  and a closed subset  $C$  of  $X$ :  $A = C \cap Y$ . Since  $Y$  is given to be closed in  $X$ ,  $A$  is the intersection of two closed sets in  $X$ , and hence is closed in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Exercise 6 from Section 17, page 101*

- (a)  $\bar{B}$  is a closed set that contains  $B$ , so it contains  $A$  as well. Hence  $\bar{A} \subset \bar{B}$ .
- (b)  $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$  is closed, being the finite union of closed sets, and contains  $A \cup B$ , so it must contain the closure  $\overline{A \cup B}$  as well. The other inclusion is a special case of (c).
- (c) For each  $\alpha$ ,  $A_\alpha \subset \overline{A_\alpha}$ , so  $\bar{A}_\alpha \subset \overline{A_\alpha}$ . Consequently,  $\bigcup \bar{A}_\alpha \subset \overline{\bigcup A_\alpha}$ . An example where equality fails is given by  $A_n = [1/n, 1]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Each  $A_n$  is closed, so  $\bigcup \bar{A}_n = \bigcup A_n = (0, 1]$ . However,  $\overline{\bigcup A_n} = \overline{(0, 1]} = [0, 1]$ .

$\square$

*Exercise 7 from Section 17, page 101*

The given “proof” fails because, while every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  must intersect some  $A_\alpha$ , each such neighborhood may intersect a different  $A_\alpha$ , leaving  $x$  in the closure of none. For an example that illustrates this, consider the collection  $A_n = \{1/n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Their union has the single limit point 0, and every neighborhood of 0 intersects some  $A_n$ , but not always the same  $A_n$ .  $\square$

*Exercise 8 from Section 17, page 101*

- (a) As in 6(b) above,  $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$  is a closed set containing  $A \cap B$ , so must contain its closure  $\overline{A \cap B}$ . The other inclusion fails though: take  $A = (0, 1)$  and  $B = (-1, 0)$ .  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , so  $\overline{A \cap B} = \emptyset$ , while  $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = \{0\}$ .
- (b) The inclusion  $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \bigcap \bar{A}_\alpha$  follows via an obvious generalization of part (a), while the other inclusion fails since it already failed for the special case of two sets.
- (c) Again, we don’t have equality: take  $A = [0, 1]$  and  $B = (0, 1)$ , so  $\overline{A - B} = \overline{\{0, 1\}} = \{0, 1\}$  while  $\bar{A} - \bar{B} = \emptyset$ . We do, however, have  $\bar{A} - \bar{B} \subset \overline{A - B}$ . First note that  $A = (A - B) \cup (A \cap B)$ , so, by 6(b) above,  $\bar{A} = \overline{A - B} \cup \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ . Similarly,  $\bar{B} = \overline{B - A} \cup \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ . Hence  $\overline{A \cap B}$  is a subset of both  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$ , and so  $\bar{A} - \bar{B} = (\overline{A - B} \cup \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) - \bar{B} = \overline{A - B} - \bar{B}$ . The result follows immediately.

$\square$

*Exercise 9 from Section 17, page 101*

$\bar{A} \times \bar{B}$  is a closed set (since its complement is the open set  $[(X - \bar{A}) \times Y] \cup [X \times (Y - \bar{B})]$ ) that contains  $A \times B$ , so  $\overline{A \times B} \subset \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ . For the reverse inclusion, suppose  $x \times y \in \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ . Then  $x \in \bar{A}$  and  $y \in \bar{B}$ , so if  $U \times V$  is a basic open set (using the usual basis for  $X \times Y$ ) that contains  $x \times y$ , then  $U$  intersects  $A$  and  $V$  intersects  $B$ . Thus  $U \times V$  intersects  $A \times B$  and we have  $x \times y \in \overline{A \times B}$ .  $\square$

*Exercise 13 from Section 17, page 101*

Suppose  $X$  is Hausdorff. We will show that  $\Delta$  is closed, or, rather, that  $X \times X - \Delta$  is open. If  $x \times y \in X \times X - \Delta$ , then  $x \neq y$ , so there exists disjoint neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Thus  $U \times V$  is a neighborhood of  $x \times y$  that does not intersect  $\Delta$ , that is,  $U \times V \subset X \times X - \Delta$ . Hence  $X \times X - \Delta$  is open.

Conversely, suppose  $\Delta$  is closed, so  $X \times X - \Delta$  is open. Given  $x \neq y$ ,  $x \times y \in X \times X - \Delta$ , so there is a basic open set  $U \times V$  such that  $x \times y \in U \times V \subset X \times X - \Delta$ .  $U$  and  $V$  are the required disjoint neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, so  $X$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

*Exercise 19 from Section 17, page 102*

- (a) If  $x \in \text{Int } A$ , then  $\text{Int } A$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  that does not intersect  $X - A$ , so  $x \notin \overline{X - A}$ . Therefore  $\text{Int } A \cap \text{Bd } A = \emptyset$ .

$\text{Bd } A \subset \bar{A}$  by definition, and  $\text{Int } A \subset A \subset \bar{A}$ , so  $\text{Int } A \cup \text{Bd } A \subset \bar{A}$ . For the other inclusion, suppose  $x \in \bar{A}$ . If some neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  does not intersect  $X - A$ , then  $x \in U \subset A$ , so  $x \in \text{Int } A$ ; otherwise every neighborhood of  $x$  intersects  $X - A$ , so  $x \in \overline{X - A}$ , and consequently  $x \in \text{Bd } A$ . Thus  $x \in \bar{A}$  implies  $x \in \text{Int } A \cup \text{Bd } A$ , or  $\bar{A} \subset \text{Int } A \cup \text{Bd } A$ .

- (b) This follows directly from (a) since  $A$  is both open and closed if and only if  $\bar{A} = A = \text{Int } A$ .

- (c) If  $U$  is open,  $\text{Int } U = U$ , and (a) gives  $\bar{U} = U \cup \text{Bd } U$ . Since this is a disjoint union, we have  $\text{Bd } U = \bar{U} - U$ . Conversely, suppose  $\text{Bd } U = \bar{U} - U$ . Then  $\bar{U} = \text{Bd } U \cup U$ , and the union is disjoint. Thus we have  $\text{Bd } U \cup \text{Int } U = \text{Bd } U \cup U$  with both unions being disjoint, so  $U = \text{Int } U$  and  $U$  is open.

- (d) Tempting as this result might be, it is not true. Consider  $X = [0, 1]$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $U = (0, 1)$ . Then  $U$  is open in  $X$ , and  $\bar{U} = X$ , so  $\text{Int } \bar{U} = X \neq U$ . It is, however, true that  $U \subset \text{Int } \bar{U}$  since  $U$  is an open subset of  $\bar{U}$ .

$\square$

*Exercise 2 from Section 18, page 111*

There is no reason why  $f(x)$  should be a limit point of  $f(A)$ . For example, if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a constant function, and  $A = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f(A)$  has no limit point while every point is a limit point of  $A$ .  $\square$